

TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL

COUNCIL

21 November 2012

Report of Central Services Director

Part 1- Public

Matters For Decision

1 APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES

To consider the nomination of a replacement Governor at Woodlands Junior School, Tonbridge and the appointment of a Trustee of Tonbridge United Charity.

1.1 Woodlands Junior School

1.1.1 Notice has been received that Dr Gordon Court has retired after many years as a Governor of Woodlands Junior School. The Council is invited to nominate a person to replace him as a Community Governor.

1.1.2 The governing body meets at least once every term and committees or working groups are also set up to look at particular issues. A community governor is appointed by the governing body and will be a person who lives or works in the community served by the school and is committed to the good government and success of the school.

1.2 Tonbridge United Charity

1.2.1 Notice has been received of the resignation of Ms Laura de Bono, one of the Council's nominative Trustees of the Tonbridge United Charity. The Trustees wish to recommend Mrs Diane Huntingford as a successor and the Council has been invited to consider her appointment as a new Trustee. The work of the Trustees involves running the almshouses in Church Street, Tonbridge and the period of office is four years.

1.3 Legal Implications

1.3.1 None.

1.4 Financial and Value for Money Considerations

1.4.1 Not applicable.

1.5 Risk Assessment

1.5.1 Not applicable.

1.6 Equality Impact Assessment

1.6.1 See 'Screening for equality impacts' table at end of report.

1.7 Recommendations

1.7.1 RECOMMENDED that

- 1) consideration be given to the nomination of a person to serve as a Community Governor of Woodlands Junior School, Tonbridge; and
- 2) consideration be given to the appointment of Mrs Diane Huntingford as a nominative Trustee of the Tonbridge United Charity.

Background papers:

contact: Claire Fox

Nil

Julie Beilby

Central Services Director

Screening for equality impacts:		
Question	Answer	Explanation of impacts
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to cause adverse impact or discriminate against different groups in the community?	No	The decision will not adversely impact any group.
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper make a positive contribution to promoting equality?	N/A	
c. What steps are you taking to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		

In submitting this report, the Chief Officer doing so is confirming that they have given due regard to the equality impacts of the decision being considered, as noted in the table above.